

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/283,120 03/31/1999		31/1999	KEVIN J. WAGONER	AUTOB.059A	9530	
20995	7590	05/28/2004		EXAMINER		
111.0000		OLSON & BEA	KAZIMI, HANI M			
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN	STREET ITH FLOOR	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
IRVINE, C.	A 92614		3624			
				DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Α	pplication N	о.	Applicant(s)	\sim			
Office Action Summary			09/283,120		WAGONER ET AL)			
			xaminer		Art Unit				
			lani Kazimi		3624				
The MAIL Period for Reply	LING DATE of this commu	nication appear	rs on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE MAILING I - Extensions of time r after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for repl - If NO period for repl - Failure to reply with Any reply received by	O STATUTORY PERIOD F DATE OF THIS COMMUN may be available under the provisions HS from the mailing date of this come y specified above is less than thirty (i y is specified above, the maximum in the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. 30) days, a reply with tatutory period will al y will, by statute, cau). In no event, ho hin the statutory n pply and will expir use the application	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	r. rmmunication.			
Status									
1) Responsi	ve to communication(s) fil	ed on 03 Nove	ember 2003						
	 ✓ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 								
3) Since this	· _								
closed in	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Clai	ms								
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>3</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>4</u>	38-40,53 and 54 is/are per above claim(s) is/a is/a is/are allowed. 38-40 is/are rejected. 33 and 54 is/are objected are subject to restri	are withdrawn	from conside						
Application Papers	S								
10)☐ The drawi	ication is objected to by thing(s) filed on is/are nay not request that any obje	e: a) accept	•	•					
	ent drawing sheet(s) includin or declaration is objected t					` '			
Priority under 35 U	J.S.C. § 119								
a)	dgment is made of a claim Some * c) None of: tified copies of the priority bies of the certified copies blication from the Internation ached detailed Office action	or documents had documents had of the priority onal Bureau (F	ave been red ave been red documents PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been receive 2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)									
Notice of Reference Notice of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (I sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Date		5) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:		⊦ 152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/283,120 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on November
 2003.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-52 are pending in this application, claims 1-37 and 41-52 have been withdrawn from consideration because of the restriction requirement. Claims 1-37, and 41-52 have been canceled, and claims 38, and 40 have been amended in the amendment filed on June 1, 2003. In the amendment filed on November 3, 2003, claims 38, and 40 have been amended, and claims 53, and 54 have been added. Therefore, claims 38-40, 53, and 54 are under prosecution in this application. *The Applicant is respectfully required to cancel claims 1-37 and 41-52 in response to this office action*. The rejections cited are as stated below:

Summary of Office Action

3. Applicants' arguments filed on November 3, 2003 and with respect to claims 38-40 have been fully considered, and discussed in the next section below or within the following rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 are not deemed to be persuasive. However, Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 53, and 54 have been fully considered, and discussed below are deemed to be persuasive. Therefore, claims 38-40 are

Application/Control Number: 09/283,120

Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

rejected as being unpatentable over the art cited below, and Applicant's request for allowance is respectfully denied.

Response to Applicants' Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371° of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

5. Claims 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fisher et al. US Pat. No. 5,835,896 as discussed in paragraph 5 of paper No. 23.

Further: Fisher teaches a current high bid value for a first product (figure 3), the first bid is greater than said current high bid value, and second bid is greater than said first bid, and the third bid is greater than said current high bid value, and said fourth bid is greater than third bid (proxy bids, figure 7, element 69, and column 7, line 1 thru column 10, line 28).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 53, and 54 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/283,120

Art Unit: 3624

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed November 3, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The response to Applicant's argument is addressed in the above rejection.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hani Kazimi whose telephone number is (703) 305-1061. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached at (703) 308-1065.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/283,120

Art Unit: 3624

Page 5

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 3600 or this Art Unit is (703) 305-7687 or 7658.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113 or 1114.

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 3624

May 17, 2004